



Project: Cottonwood Community Recreation Center Project Number: 2007.026.02

Meeting Subject: City Staff Design Development Workshop # 3 Issue Date: February 6, 2008

Present: Scott Mangarpan, Rudy Rodriguez, Tim Costello, Richard Faust, Dave Hammel, Katie Barnes, Doug Bartosh, Jason Little Meeting Date: January 30, 2008

Copy To: file, Scott Mangarpan (for distribution as appropriate), Richard Faust, Dave Hammel, Katie Barnes

1. Schedule

- a. It was agreed that Design Development Workshop # 4 will take place on February 20th at 2:30 pm in Cottonwood.
- b. Dave – We are proposing that the 100% Design Development / Construction Documents Kick Off Meeting will occur on March 19th.
- c. Dave – These dates are tentative depending on the 50% Design Development estimate which may change the dates, content of the meetings and/or details of the meetings.
- d. Scott – I would like to meet with the City Staff with the 50% Design Development estimate if decisions need to be made prior to a meeting with the City Design Committee.
- e. Dave – Is one week enough time for the City to review the 100% Design Development documents?
- f. Scott / Richard – Yes

2. Budget

- a. Dave – One proposed value engineering possibility from Adolfson & Peterson suggests that the gable roof over the Gallery could become flat where the Gallery and the Cardio Areas intersect.
- b. Scott – Possibly that roof could be flat at the Gallery / Cardio Area, but a large skylight could be placed over the Climbing Wall.

3. Design

- a. Scott - If we have punched skylights at the Gallery roof, we could make some operable which can act as a smoke vent, as required by the fire department.
- b. Scott – Before we decide whether the Lap Pool will be a part of the project or if the existing pool will be renovated, I need to be convinced about the renovation costs and weigh how much more the new Lap Pool would cost to build in the future.
- c. Richard – Depending on the expense to renovate the existing pool, I think we should consider it. The new Lap Pool could be phased in the future.
- d. Shasta Pools will be reviewing the state of the existing pool on February 11th.
- e. Scott will follow up with George Gehlart regarding the ability to have skylights in the building assuming the building typically closes at 10:00 pm and maintenance can use stepped down lights.
- f. Richard – I will provide information to City Maintenance so they can begin to raise questions for a conference call with the project engineers.

4. Owner 50% Design Development Comments

- a. Richard – I do not have any additional comments beyond Scott's comments.
- b. Tim – I assume we will see more in the future about the Paula Street improvements from Joe Link.
- c. Dave – Yes
- d. Tim – I understand that City Council much prefers a metal roof.
- e. Dave – We are getting pricing for both metal and shingle roof options. There are other value engineering options to be considered, also.
- f. Tim – There is some interest in the river rock being true to the river rock of the area. We do not want more square or rectangular stone like flagstone. I like the cultured stone that BRS is considering.
- g. Tim – I know the City Council and the Mayor were very interested in this building being sustainable.
- h. Scott – Sustainable options are great and save money in the future, but you have to have capital at the outset. Perhaps we could build in the ability to add sustainable features in the future.
- i. Dave – I believe you should still consider the solar panel design option.

Attach: None.

Note: The above is considered correct unless response to the contrary is received within 7 days from the above date.
Please contact Barker Rinker Seacat Architecture if you feel items are in error.